

17)

(ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी मण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के तौरान हुई हो।

(ii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

- (b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

... 2 ... एवं सेवाकर (आ \$12 p ਰੁ BIDENIA

(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।

- (b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।
- (c) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

- (d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए–8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल–आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो–दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जानां चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35–इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबुत के साथ टीआर–6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपीलः– Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गतः-

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

- (क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण <u>(सिस्टेट)</u> की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ—20, न्यू मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद—380016
- (a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380 016. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.



2

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the tribunal is situated.

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथारिथति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि–1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण <u>(सिस्टेट)</u>, के प्रति अपीलो के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है ।(Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

🗢 यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है .

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the predeposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."



F.No.: V2(28)58/Ahd-I/2017-18

ORDER IN APPEAL

3

M/s. Vardhman Chemicals, C-1/58, Phase-II, GIDC, Vatva, Ahmedabad (*hereinafter referred to as 'the appellants'*) have filed the present appeal against Order-in-Original number MP/2263/AC/2016-17 dated 29.07.2016 (*hereinafter referred to as 'impugned order'*) passed by the then Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise, Division-III, Ahmedabad-I (*hereinafter referred to as 'adjudicating authority'*).

2. The facts of the case, in brief, are that the appellants had filed a rebate claim for ₹1,89,913/- on 27.05.2016, under Rule 18 of Central Excise Rules, 2002. During scrutiny of the claim and related documents, it was noticed that the appellants had exported on 11.04.2015 whereas; they had filed the said rebate claim on 27.05.2016. Thus, it was concluded that the rebate claim was liable for rejection as per the provisions of Section 11B of the Central Excise Act, 1944 and accordingly a show cause notice dated 27.06.2016 was issued to them which was adjudicated vide the impugned order. The adjudicating authority, vide the impugned order, rejected the entire rebate claim of ₹1,89,913/- on the ground of limitation of time under the provisions of Section 11B of the Central Excise Act, 1944.

3. Being aggrieved with the impugned order the appellants have preferred the present appeal. The appellants have submitted that the impugned order has been passed without appreciating the facts and circumstances of the case. They claimed that as there is no dispute about the export, the substantive benefit cannot be denied to them. The appellants could not file the rebate claim on time due to non receipt of BRC from the concerned banks. They had approached the authorities but no one guided them properly. Thus, it is not the fault of the appellants. In support, they have cited some judgments from higher judicial authorities and requested to set aside the impugned order with consequential benefits.

4. Personal hearing in the matter was granted and held on 24.01.2018. Shri N. K. Oza, Advocate, appeared before me on behalf of the appellants and reiterated the contents of appeal memo.

व सेवःकर

5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case on records, grounds of the Appeal Memorandum, the Written Submission filed by the appellants and oral submission made at the time of personal

* hearing. To begin with, I find that there has been a delay occurred in filing the appeal by the appellants. The impugned order was issued on 29.07.2016 and the appeal has been filed before me on 21.07.2017. In view of the above, I find that the claim is delayed by nearly one year (the delay is of 350 days). The Government has provided certain facilities, time to time, for the convenience of the assessee. Knowingly or unknowingly, if one fails to comply with the Service Tax provisions, then there are rules to facilitate the assessee under certain terms and conditions. Assessee, if not satisfied with the demand, may prefer appeal to the higher authorities [in this case, the Commissioner (Appeals)] within 2 months from the date of receipt of order from such adjudicating authority. The Commissioner (Appeals) may allow a further period of only 1 month, if sufficient cause for late filing of appeal is shown and proved to him. In the present case, the appellants seem to be a persistent defaulter as they have late filed the rebate claim before the adjudicating authority and now in appeal stage too, the case is affected with the provisions of time bar. Mere accusing the authorities of not offering proper guideline and the banks not issuing BRC timely, they are simply trying to shift the blame on somebody else's shoulders. Thus, in view of the above facts, the appeal filed by the appellants is time barred and hence, I reject the appeal on the ground of limitation itself.

6. Accordingly, the appeal filed by the appellants is rejected being time barred.

7. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपीलो का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

7. The appeal filed by the appellants stands disposed off in above terms.

Juniaim

(उमा शंकर)

आयुक्त (अपील्स)

-

CENTRAL TAX, AHMEDABAD.

ATTESTED

SUPERINTENDENT (APPEALs), CENTRAL TAX, AHMEDABAD.



F.No.: V2(28)58/Ahd-I/2017-18

To,

M/s. Vardhman Chemicals,

C-1/58, Phase-II, GIDC, Vatva,

Ahmedabad-382 445.

Copy to:

1) The Chief Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad.

2) The Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad (South).

3) The Dy./Asst. Commissioner, CGST, Division-II (Vatva-I), Ahmedabad (South).

5

4) The Asst. Commissioner (System), Central Tax, Hq, Ahmedabad (South).

5) Guard File.

6) P. A. File.

सेवाव